

just as Seaberg is against ^{us} our race,
but for good uses of his PU

and Teller, for good uses of his H
(vs. counts; deterrence; ^{limit} the FC, stably
(HAK)

and Cohen, N-bomb

The problem-solvers:

HK on Road to Geneva: "But I don't see how you could..."

Marin: guillotine - I see the problem.

LOW (to obviate need for BMD)

(SU relies on this

HK: Damsday Machine

Bill Brown (my reaction)

Stopping the World

(my reaction)

(SU does it. Deal Hand

response to

Deep Talk

+ prep

Dr. Stregulove

NATO

Delegation

Yanov, I + his
watch

analyze
script

(Ignorance of nuclear winter)

Problem had been solved!

(Teller and me

8-11-03

Book:

AS IN

1987-91-

92-

next opportunity ^{visiting me} of 1961
Failure to re-think everything,
go for one control,
stop now
(see Northrup on Post-Mortem)

Instead: 1) I + Helmut speak
at Athens Am Arley!
F-S (Berlin
thru)

(Then McN gets disillusioned with
2nd stage O-C, options - goes for MAD
(but doesn't internally ^{to limit} USAF
reject O-C: really go for MAD, UNIT FORCE)

Put in? [see Lyngby Crisis
Facts Malacropolis!
Crisis Pattern]

(C-II)

Anticipation Later: - Move for Survival / Cont. Work
movement (Laden)

- REF TF

(Linsen? Leningrad
moscow - BUSH "Happy day"
Grand zero -
Vanderby

(N-Barb)

COHEN

Put in by analyses in conclusion

From 1995 (8 years ago),
dilemma

Am Arkes speech (

Dilpative Speech -

Coxon ~~AAA~~ K records deadline!

→ Cuba II of Am Arkes '62'

+ thought: got back -
down

giving 1) Tank missiles

see Ph.D. thesis
(Hershey friend)

2)

MNH and Taiwan Straits Crisis

(Munsterdt ~~study~~)

(I see: like C-II FMA

1964 - Facts Malacca Straits

Pros:

Bessell and C-I and U-2

He and Dulles and Study

(my experience)

role of rage / humiliation

surprising refusal to

acquiesce (He, is long)

role of dem. pol.

1962 - my experience in C-II

- study of it

- SI from SAM - site

- But reality: worse delegation

RFK ultrathin

Wf not earlier:

1995 on:

Wf not in Secret:

Too big! Space problem in book

Don't do justice to issue without
much background

"Wf?" (my concern for eventual FC
in VN...)

How did I get such access?
How did I know people?

— (Even so, I put in hints, brought
in Wes (Chap. 2, at end of process)

— Couldn't prove N part with docs
(Now see: Kinnell + Burr)

— [See systematic omission of
mention — Butts...

neglect of N's claims
and hist's

— I looked like a bungler (plunder)
to loss of documents

Feeling of failure
my reluctance to
re-immersing myself
in the subject

— Promise of Frage Moment: failure

— Who would believe me? (Goldwater, 1964)

I feel dampened by one career per trial / esp. being

A write in 1981

(A investigation after 1974:

DURK HOOK

Regretted the crises:

(all of them!)

MORRIS
(INT.)

1) 1980 see Jack Anderson,

best '46

CARTER (interview)

prof Powell

IRAN

Nitze/Kennan

on Iran

2) 1958

IRAQ / Kuwait

1973 - N/NAK/Hag ...

1972 - N tapes Offshoot

(1971? know?)

Plumber 1973-75 -

(Hag)

(Who could talk:

Hag

NAK

Eggshelving

Kake

(Hymn?)

(Hullo?)

Morris

Kear Glass

Walt Blomquist

1991 - Hag

(Khaddafi! } Clinton
North Korea! }

2002-03 Hag (Ankin

(detests note unshared!

sanctions/inspiration

(and threats of conventional
attacks)

Already written:

0. Blitz - strat bombing Dool/Bombers
1. U-235 1944/45 Dool/Hiroshima/H

2. Accident Catastrophe
risk-taking / sleep / going off road
need for vigilance / warning

- Kuroon

- Questions

2009

- "1000 ICBMs" / Briefing to Rens

- Delegation [new does]

1961/1964

[by effort to get it out]

Vulnerability of C3

→ Deep threat → COW/Delegation

P-15
Pereira

see Clinton Austin on

(see Park & HK now)

w/ small SOI as threat to SU: COW

Stapleton: My memo on VA and authentication

(PAL) (many fathers)

1)

Desire to get locks on weapons

PACOM & envelopes

GEOP

Alt. military

[see Clinton: critics as targets]

SACLAGAR - Path to Total War [GET]

Interference Problem (Franchise - of pilots)

Single Plan

JSCP - Def. of GW

(Others: T & McG, Elving, Carne, Stern,
Enthoven, ^{Dr.} Ruth ~~David~~ ^{David} ~~Draper~~? DAVIS
WSEG Marshall, bsr, ANW, HK, ...

✓ may have had no effect — over-
"determined"; other paths; no desire
to claim paternity; Auschwitz
but others write the records;
confront, converge...

This is first my ~~major~~ story — I'm
at the center of it because ^{it's told from the} ~~it was~~ ^{the} P.O.V.
experience

— Admission and NATO Berlin Crisis

Berlin Home 1961

"JFK speech"

"Briefing to K" (Kagan); K
Khrushchev's speech;

Balance of Terror was stable because
total im-balanced SU had none

(= Aug 2003?)

like SU 1960-61!

DOES NK HAVE OP.

Nukes? OR, BLUFF?

or thru A-bomb

Imminent ICBMs as expense for US
build-up: or, w/out Aug, attack!

(not the expense for Hiroshima

but "no other way to avoid invasion"
IM]

P.C. as expense

Hyp. Discourse like NAC Planes
Project
(New American Century?)

|| — Some we explosions are (new)
inevitable... (What if, on Israel?)

What then?

What, then? (our attractions)

What to aim at?

How to respond?

What to try to avert
defeat against?

Catalytic attacks?

— on SU? (Apocalyptic!
Annihilation!
Rapture...

— of a terrorist: on Pak? India?

NEW NOC BRA

Sat May 10, 03

Key out: Matters on which nearly everyone
has been wrong
mistaken

on wrong path

on wrong assumptions

e.g. US non-prolif objectives: high priority (actually, so low that it
(not even a general purpose)

new: paper,
good

e.g. Pargue and "overkill" yields to almost
any other
conviction)

also under
N/H&R:

NAR + "his one war"

"Was one [set of policy-making
builders

HE: used for CD + ABM
(as seen by SAC)

meeting: we should be
seen "realistically
experimentally"]

— only useful/valuable for deterring
new attacks. "No use military
if not fails

2

AW/AWM
were right,
from 1959-64;

- O-L is [self-evidently] infeasible
and always has been

[e.g. 1960-63]

and given
discrep, minutes

[There is "no incentive to preempt"

for O-L were
succeeded after

"no instability"

1964, (MX, SS-18, ABM...)

("incentive"

Com for President

Dugan,

GPD

James B

(Burgin)

"^(FU) Was ^(FU) have never been considered,
or 'seriously' considered.

"UNTHINKABLE"*

(There is no difference between presidents

on the score — or, among

non-innovation leaders (like SH)

Henry Kissinger, NPT May: "Inhibitor"

"weapons that have been nearly unthinkable for half a century"

& CAT, Paul Riech, today, 5-10-83 (SF Chron, A4)

5-10-03

Who is pressing for new rules, treaty?

Who in labs?

military?

NOW: PU seen as
more credible,
usable, post-CW!

ask Paine

Civilians?

(PNAC?

Perle?)

Where is Marshall - this?

Rumsfeld?

Will a use on a city by terrorists
"legitimize" threat vs. cities?

Did Anarchy "legitimize" or "compel"
others' use of gas-chambers
or possession?

(Again - what if Nazis had used
A-bomb, while US refrained?!)

Did US/UK legitimize city-burning?!
people-

(If not: why not?

Unbearable to others, tell rules!

Is it good enough for a grim regime
- US Empire based on PGMs + FU - to
"keep the peace" (roughly: so to speak)
for 25-50 years
- like "arms control" and/or CW
no matter what follows?

(Asin official to Bill Keller, this week's NYT Mag)

5-10-53

John Robert

Friday

Grass Marsh, Jefferson County,

Colo — met ^{DE} him e-mail

at WACaf.

on trail of Rockwell Ant.

a gross environmental violation

on trail of Jim Stone, who was

303-421-3383

: forensic.pro.com

Boysen

TR Lat

909-682-0229

John on book idea (?)

How to do the book
relatively
fast
less sitting

Oregon? but? good way to sit in
bed, w. computer?
Phone to TR?

Study book problems...

VN — Empire — maintaining CW
Politics
New — building (Don + fast)

hang!
not "nation-building"
but "empire-building"
(direct empire)
like UK, late 19th

Counter — prolif
not "democracy-building" (like an, maintaining
but reshaping ME deterrence

and D-L
of tech, wile many
(not, coop.)

... natural